UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	USDS SDNY DOCUMENT
AHIB PAUL,	ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #:
Petitioner,	DATE FILED: 72110
-against-	07 Civ. 9462 (CM)(HBP)
ROBERT ERCOLE,	
Respondent.	
X	
ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE DECISION, DISMISSING PETITION FOR WAND DECLINING TO ISSUE A CERTIFICA	RIT OF HABEAS CORPUS,
McMahon,:	
 The court has received and reviewed the Re Yeary 15 France , Uni June 10 20 . No objections have been received and the time expired without any request for an extension 	ted States Magistrate Judge, dated me for filing objections to the Report has
The court has reviewed the objections filed and finds them to be without merit.	by Petitioner/Repondent on
3. The Court adopts the Report as its opinion.	
4. For the reasons stated in the Report, the peti Court is directed to dismiss the case and to contain the case and to contain the reasons stated in the Report, the peti Court is directed to dismiss the case and to contain the reasons stated in the Report, the peti Court is directed to dismiss the case and to contain the reasons stated in the Report, the peti Court is directed to dismiss the case and to contain the reasons stated in the Report, the peti Court is directed to dismiss the case and to contain the reasons stated in the Report, the peti Court is directed to dismiss the case and to contain the report is directed to dismiss the case and to contain the report is directed to dismiss the case and to contain the report is directed to dismiss the case and to contain the report is directed to dismiss the case and to contain the report is directed to dismiss the case and to contain the report is directed to dismiss the case and the report is directed to dismiss the case and the report is directed to dismiss the case and the report is directed to dismiss the case and the report is directed to dismiss the case and the report is directed to dismiss the case and the report is directed to dismiss the case and the report is directed to dismiss the case and the report is directed to dismiss the case and the report is directed to dismiss the case and the report is directed to dismiss the case and the report is directed to dismiss the report is directed to dismiss the case and the report is directed to dismiss the case and the report is directed to dismiss the case and the report is directed to dismiss the report is directed to dismiss the case and the report is directed to dismiss th	
5. Petitioner has made no substantial showing there is no question of substance for appella appealability shall issue. 28 U.S.C. § 2253; 259-60 (2d Cir. 1997); <i>Lozada v. United Sta Rodriguez v. Scully</i> , 905 F. 2d 24 (2d Cir. 1997)	te review. Therefore, no certificate of see <i>United States v. Perez</i> , 129 F. 3d 255, ates, 107 F. 3d 1011 (2d Cir. 1997);
6. I certify, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a), the taken in good faith. <i>Coppedge v. United State</i>	
Çanies (mailed / faxed/handed to counsel on 1 12411

Dated: July 21, 2010

J.S.D.J.

BY FIRST CLASS MAIL TO ATTACHED MAILING LIST